



The Location and Design of Waste Management Facilities Supplementary Planning Document

Statement of Consultation (Representations and Responses)

Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England)
Regulations 2004 (as amended), Regulation 18(4)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Statement has been produced in order to set out the main issues arising from public consultation on the Location and Design of Waste Management Facilities Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), and to show how representations have been considered or addressed.
- 1.2 Location and Design of Waste Management Facilities SPD, supplements policies in the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strategy.
- 1.3 In terms of location the Guide includes advice on:
- previously developed land
 - siting
 - co-location of facilities.
 - co-location with household recycling centres
 - temporary facilities

In terms of design the guide includes advice on:

- character
- built form
- local distinctiveness
- transport, access, parking and circulation
- lighting
- landscape and boundary treatments
- noise
- air quality
- water
- pest control
- security
- energy efficiency and sustainable construction.

2.0 CONSULTATION

- 2.1 Consultation on the draft SPD took place between 15 February and 29 March 2010. This took place alongside consultation on the Proposed Submission stage of the 'parent' Plan, the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strategy. Consulting at the same time enabled people to see how the two documents relate.
- 2.2 During the public consultation on the SPD the Council received around 136 individual comments, made by 16 individuals / organisations.

3.0 MAIN ISSUES RAISED

- 3.1 The main issues raised covered the following:
- the need to update and expand the section on Other Relevant Documents and include reference to local guidance which may be available from the District / City Councils

- the need to re-structure the section on Other Relevant Documents so it is clear
- the need to add key principles in the section on Sustainable Construction
- the need to update the SPD in respect of the final version of the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy
- the need to include additional advice on assimilating waste management development in areas which are open, and where additional planting may not be appropriate

4.0 MAIN CHANGES TO THE SPD

- 4.1 As a result of the main issues raised it is suggested that changes be made to the SPD to meet all the points identified above, in paragraph 3.1.

5.0 SCHEDULE OF REPRESENTATIONS & RESPONSES

- 5.1 The following schedule provides a summary of all the representations made, and how they have been considered. It also includes any changes which are suggested in response to the points raised.

Schedule of Representations made on The Location and Design of Waste Management Facilities Draft Supplementary Planning Documents (February 2010)

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD1	1.1 Paragraph	001	No comments to make with regard to this document	No response required	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD7	1.1 Paragraph	002	Waste management sites must be well designed not should Poor design must be rejected – 'should' leaves an option for poor design to be permitted	This text is a quote from Planning Policy Statement 10, so it cannot be amended by the Authority	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD28	1.1 Paragraph	003	South Cambs welcomes the detailed guidance produced in this SPD	Noted	No amendments required.
MWWWMFSPD56	1.1 Paragraph	004	No objections to the minerals & waste document, provided it will not result in increased traffic through the village of Helpston. All site traffic must continue to use the existing A15 exit used for the extraction.	This document does not make site specific recommendations regarding lorry routing. This matter would be addressed via policy in the Minerals and Waste Plan, and through the planning application process	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD57	1.1 Paragraph	005	Somersham Parish Council has no objection to any documents associated with the Minerals & Waste Plan documents. However, Councillors remain concerned with the access route and road junctions used by the heavy HCV's and that consideration is made to residents living along the route to Warboys through Wood Hurst and Pidley.	This document does not make site specific recommendations regarding lorry routing. This matter would be addressed via policy in the Minerals and Waste Plan, and through the planning application process	No amendments required.
MWWWMFSPD121	1.1 Paragraph	006	FDC welcomes and supports this SPD and sees it as an important asset to helping improve the quality of the	Noted	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			design of buildings and their surroundings both within the district as well as throughout the county.		
MWWWMFSPD122	1.1 Paragraph	007	Regional Planning Panel Standing Committee considered the attached report at its meeting on the 26th March 2010 and considered that the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Development Plan Documents listed above are in general conformity with the East of England Plan.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD123	1.1 Paragraph	008	No additional comments to make.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD124	1.1 Paragraph	009	No comments	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD128	1.1 Paragraph	010	Glossary – Add green and Brown Roof” – definitions should be given in the glossary as well as quality examples portrait in the design guidance.	Agreed, inclusion of green and brown roofs in glossary would be appropriate.	In para 4.3 add reference to green and brown roofs.
MWWWMFSPD129	1.1 Paragraph	011	Generally you have credited a number of the photos/images with a company names such as Grundon and Sita, however you have not credited Donarbon at all! To be fair and reasonable please ensure our images / photos are credited appropriately, please confirm what will be done.	Noted, accreditations will be included	Insert accreditations for photographs supplied by Donarbon Ltd.
MWWWMFSPD2	1.2 Paragraph	002	The terminology is weak and unenforceable change wording to waste management facilities must be well designed Poor design must be rejected	This paragraph is providing explanation for why the Guide has been prepared. The Guide cannot make policy itself, but provides supplementary guidance to the policies in the parent Minerals and Waste Plan. The parent Plan requires	No amendments required.

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD3	1.4 Paragraph	002	To state that it is up to the developer is a total opt out. There should be clearly defined buffer distances these are not covered specifically any where and therefore any developer could say that it is not necessary and when the council is desperate for the waste facility they will water down requirements. The use of subjective individual assessments gives no comfort or security to local residents as to the deliverability of suitable buffers both content of same and distances.	all minerals and waste management development to achieve a high standard in their design and mitigation of environmental impacts (including climate change) This paragraph is asking each developer to apply the Guide to their individual development and to justify their proposals. Each site will have its own characteristics which will influence the location / design of the waste management facility, and factors such as depth of buffer. Every development proposed will then be assessed and a decision made on the relevant planning application. If the Council considers that the Guide has not been adequately reflected this will inform its decision. The checklist in the Guide will assist this assessment	No amendments required.
MWWWMFSPD8	1.4 Paragraph	002	There is too much flexibility in the framework Individual assessment of buffer zones by developers will not give the planners enough backup to ensure	Section 5 of the Guide prescribes indicative distances for buffer zones	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD29	1.7 Paragraph	003	<p>enough space is provided for good buffer zones</p> <p>This mentions that information will be in other SPDs produced by City and District Councils. South Cambs Design Guide does not appear to cover recycling facilities/ bins within residential and commercial development. It has a section in Chapter 9 about recycling but this cross-refers to the County's RECAP Design Guide SPD.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Mention should be made here to RECAP Design Guide SPD being produced by Cambridgeshire County Council and Peterborough City Council.</p>	<p>for typical waste management facility types. The distance is not prescriptive as flexibility is required. Each site will have its own characteristics which may mean the buffer zone should be the same, greater, or less than that prescribed. Each planning application would be dealt with on an individual basis, and buffer zones would be assessed at application stage</p> <p>Noted. However, a cross reference to the RECAP SPD is already included in paragraph 1.15, the Section which refers to Other Relevant Documents</p>	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD27	1.8 paragraph	003	<p>Premature consultation - South Cambridgeshire District Council is concerned that the two SPDs are being consulted upon prematurely before the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy has been adopted. It would appear that the intention of the</p>	<p>The Councils are preparing 3 SPDs alongside the preparation of the parent Minerals and Waste Plan. This is to avoid a policy</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			<p>County Council is that the SPDs will be formally adopted once the Core Strategy of the MWDP is adopted in July 2011. Both SPDs are supporting policies that are contained within the proposed Submission version of the MWCS. These policies could be amended as a result of the current consultation process and any subsequent public inquiry may revise the contents of the MWDP. The SPDs would then have to be subject to further consultation if the supporting policies in the MWDP are changed. This could produce a very confused process with different versions of the SPDs being used by local planning authorities. It may have been more appropriate to consult on the SPD once the MWDP has been adopted. If the draft policies it supports are amended or deleted the SPD would have to be reviewed and consulted again at a later date.</p>	<p>vacuum forming when existing SPD is 'cancelled' by the adoption of the new Plan. Simultaneous preparation will enable new SPD to be put in place as soon as practicable, without a long delay.</p> <p>The Councils are aware that any changes to the parent Plan may have implications for the related SPD. However, having received the Inspectors report it can now be confirmed that no significant changes have been made in this respect. In any event, it would not lead to various versions of SPD being used, as no SPD can be used until it is formally adopted</p>	
MWWMFSPD58	1.10 Paragraph	012	<p>While the City Council supports the principles behind the production of this guidance document, we have considerable concerns that it has been drafted on the basis of unadopted policies that have not undergone appropriate scrutiny via an independent examination. This is not a sound approach to take as the policies may be subject to significant change in order to make them 'sound' or could be deleted altogether. In addition, SPDs, while not subject to an independent examination,</p>	<p>The Councils are preparing 3 SPDs alongside the preparation of the parent Minerals and Waste Plan. This is to avoid a policy vacuum forming when existing SPD is 'cancelled' by the adoption of the new Plan. Simultaneous</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD31	1.13 Paragraph	003	<p>should be drafted in accordance with the tests of soundness, in other words they must be justified, effective and consistent with national policy. Given that the policies for which this SPD is based on have yet to be examined in accordance with the tests of soundness, the City Council would question whether the SPD is justified. While it is recognised that the SPD will not be adopted until the adoption of the Core Strategy, if the Core Strategy were to be found unsound, or if considerable changes had to be made in order to make the Core Strategy sound, this could have significant implications for this SPD with subsequent resource implications.</p> <p>The subsequent paragraphs giving information on supporting documents seems somewhat random. It may be better to put together all those that are produced by Central Government; Cambridgeshire County Council; Peterborough City Council and others with publication dates or if possible web- links to the relevant documents.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Amend section called ' Other relevant documents' so that it is clearer who has produced the documents and where they may be viewed including web links to them where available</p>	<p>preparation will enable new SPD to be put in place as soon as practicable, without a long delay.</p> <p>The Councils are aware that any changes to the parent Plan may have implications for the related SPD. However, having received the Inspectors report it can now be confirmed that no significant changes have been made in this respect.</p> <p>It is agreed that this section could be more clearly structured and referenced</p>	<p>Amend the section of 'Other Relevant Documents' to be more clearly structured and referenced</p>
MWWWMFSPD30	1.14 Paragraph	003	<p>The paragraph about Local Development Frameworks implies that District Councils are still preparing their LDFs. This is not the case. South Cambridgeshire District Council now has seven adopted Development Plan Documents and seven adopted Supplementary Planning Documents. The paragraph as currently</p>	<p>It is agreed that this paragraph could be more accurately worded to reflect the fact that the District LDFs are at various stages i.e. that District</p>	<p>Amend para 1.14 to include an additional sentence at the end, reading: District and City Councils are making good progress</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD59	1.14 Paragraph	012	worded is misleading. There is now only one policy that needs to be saved from the Local Plan 2004 – all other policies have been superseded. Proposed change to SPD - Reword paragraph 1.14 to reflect the fact that District Councils are making good progress in the preparation of their LDFs and that many have adopted Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents	Councils are making good progress in the preparation of their LDFs and that many have adopted Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents	in the preparation of their LDFs and many have adopted Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD). The SPDs may include local advice on sustainable design and construction. Developers are advised to contact the relevant District / City Council to obtain an up to date planning policy situation.
MWWMFSPD60	1.27 Paragraph	012	Given that this SPD makes reference to the principles of Sustainable Design and Construction, it might also be useful to make specific reference to guidance documents produced by the districts in their role as local planning authorities. For example, reference could be made to Cambridge City Council's Sustainable Design and Construction SPD (2007). Cambridge City Council supports the climate change principles on which this document is based. However, reference should also be made to proposals not only using but maximising opportunities to generate renewable energy which as well as being used on-site may also be of benefit to the wider area through district wide schemes or by making Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) available to other renewable energy schemes in the County. Such an approach would be in keeping with the Government's 2009 Renewable Energy Strategy, which calls for the better exploitation of the existing supply of	Agreed that references to district / city council sustainable construction guides would be relevant. See Councils response to representation MWWMFSPD30 above	No further amendments required
					Update paragraph 1.27 to reflect the final version of the Core Strategy Policy CS22 Climate Change

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			organic waste materials for heat and power in order to contribute towards the UK's renewable energy targets. Generating renewable energy from waste could also significantly reduce the amount of waste that is being sent to landfill. We welcome the reference to the role of Sustainable Drainage Schemes, but feel that this should also acknowledge the wider climate change adaptation benefits that SUDs present other than simply minimising flood risk. The cooling benefits of SUDs may be particularly beneficial for waste proposals where increasing temperatures linked to climate change may otherwise cause environmental impacts.	amendments as the Plan has gone through Examination, and the SPD will be updated to reflect this. The changes which have been made include clarification that waste management facilities should consider the generation (as well as use) of renewable energy.	
MWWWFSPD130	2.3 Paragraph	011	Paragraph 2.3 would suggest "co-mingled" is added so it reads..."These facilities receive source separated, co-mingled, commercial..." Same update for para 5.2	Agreed	Revise para 2.3 to read: 'These facilities receive source separated, co-mingled, commercial...'
MWWWFSPD131	2.5 Paragraph	011	Only have to meet the ABPO when composting food waste therefore you can have a IVC without requiring ABPO regulation.	Agreed	Revise end of para 2.5 to read: 'when food waste is being composted.'
MWWWFSPD132	2.16 Paragraph	011	Para 2.16 What is a "Mixed Waste Processing Facility" surely this is an MBT or MRF and could be deleted.	These facilities, as noted in the SPD tend to be larger than MRFs, and can be mechanical or biological in nature. They are therefore different to MBT of MRF facilities.	No amendments required
MWWWFSPD61	3.1 Paragraph	012	While recognising that there may be some instances where the use of Greenfield land for facilities may be inevitable, it is vital that such decisions are taken on the basis of a robust and credible assessment of all	Noted	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD109	3.1 Paragraph	013	<p>reasonable alternatives. Such assessments will need to be based on a variety of detailed assessments, such as transport assessments and landscape and visual appraisals. The use of Compulsory Purchase Powers must be considered where appropriate, for example where failure to do so would lead to a site in the Green Belt being sought.</p> <p>It is disappointing that there is no reference to the historic environment within the chapter, specifically paragraphs 3.4, 3.7, 3.11 and 3.17. Planting should not be seen as a universal solution to mitigate the impact of every solution; in some cases it could be harmful to the character, appearance and setting of the historic environment and specific assets. It should only be used where appropriate.</p>	<p>It is agreed that it would be appropriate to refer to the historic environment in para's 3.4, 3.7, 3.11 and 3.17</p>	<p>Add a new penultimate sentence to paragraph 3.4: Siting should not be harmful to the character, appearance, and setting of the historic environment and specific historic assets.</p> <p>Add an additional bullet point to paragraphs 3.7, 3.11 and 3.17 reading: Proposals, including planting, should not be harmful to the character, appearance, and setting of the historic environment and specific historic assets.</p>
MWWWMFSPD62	3.3 Paragraph	012	<p>While we support the reference to sustainable modes of transport, it should also be reflected that where facilities are open to the public (Household Recycling Centres), reference should also be made to sustainable modes of transport being used by visitors as well as staff. Cycle parking should be maximised as there is an increasing use of bike trailers in Cambridge, which will help facilitate people visiting Household Recycling Centres</p>	<p>The section on Transport, Access, Circulation and Parking makes it clear that the site should be accessible by sustainable forms of transport, and that access, circulation and parking should be integral</p>	<p>Amend paragraph 4.13, to insert an additional sentence after sentence 4, to read: Cycle parking for users and staff should be provided, including provision for cycle trailers were they are</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			by sustainable modes.	to the design of the site, and access for all users should be considered (para 4.12). However additional guidance could be provided in the following paragraph, where specific reference is made to Household Recycling Centres.	increasingly being used, especially in the Cambridge area.
MWWWMFSPD9	3.4 Paragraph	002	Amenity impacts such as noise and litter are controlled and design issues carefully considered - they may be considered but this wording does nothing to ensure active delivery of same. Developer could consider noise but for what ever reason does not want to provide the best possible solution and there is no requirement for him to do so only consider it.	The requirement for the developer to address amenity matters including noise and litter are in the parent Minerals and Waste Plan. This document is providing supplementary guidance on such matters, and detailing measures which can be used to mitigate particular issues. If these matters are not addressed to the satisfaction of the Waste Planning Authority the planning application will not be approved.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD10	3.6 Paragraph	002	There are too many shoulds and not any MUSTs and therefore there is no guarantee that any of these ideals will be delivered	The 'musts' are in the parent policies in the Minerals and Waste Plan. An SPD cannot make policy, but rather	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD32	3.6 Paragraph	003	<p>Welcome that specific regard is being given to development in rural locations thereby recognising the different considerations that must be given to locating waste management facilities in countryside settings such as is found in much of South Cambridgeshire. There needs to be recognition within the SPD that South Cambs has a number of adopted planning policy documents in the Local Development Framework. For planning applications for waste management facilities within this district there are a number of relevant Development Plan Documents (DPD) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD) that would need to be considered. Reference should be made to these e.g. Landscape SPD; Trees SPD; Design Guide. When the Council is consulted on a waste facility within this district it could use these DPDs and SPDs to inform its responses.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Include reference to relevant SPDs in this paragraph.</p>	<p>supplements it. In this instance the SPD is highlighting locational issues and factors which affect the location of facilities in rural areas.</p> <p>It has already been agreed to make clearer reference to District Plans and SPD and to advise developers to contact District / city Councils to confirm the latest Plans / SPD which are relevant to their development. This general reference will also avoid references becoming outdated.</p> <p>See Councils response to representation MWWWMFSPD30</p>	No further amendments required
MWWWMFSPD6	3.7 Paragraph	002	<p>There are too many shoulds and not enough musts, with the word should the developer has an opt out aspect which seems to be regularly used and the planners do not insist on these aspects being fully addressed unless there is actual legislation such as wildlife acts.</p> <p>For example there is an allocated site and there needs</p>	<p>The 'musts' are in the parent policies in the Minerals and Waste Plan. An SPD cannot make policy, but rather supplements. In this instance the SPD is setting</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			to be a building of X size so whilst there should be landscaping it is reduced to a line of trees as there is no space so there is no means of ensuring enough landscaping.	out the principles relevant to development in rural areas. If these matters are not addressed to the satisfaction of the Waste Planning Authority the planning application will not be approved.	
MWWWMFSPD33	3.7 Paragraph	003	In the principles to apply for rural locations one must be added that considers the traffic generated by a waste facility particularly heavy commercial vehicles (HCV) so that there is not an increase in unsuitable traffic on rural roads through rural settlements. The criteria is applied to both urban and urban fringe locations but is equally as valid in rural areas if traffic ends up going through nearby villages. The fact that the facility is in the countryside should not mean that large vehicles in order to access the facility have to take a route through residential areas. Proposed change to SPD - Add a key principle that the location should be selected to ensure that larger vehicles accessing the facility do not have to be routed through residential areas.	Agreed that this is equally applicable to rural areas and that a bullet point to this effect should be added	Add an additional bullet point to Para 3.7 to say: 'the location should be selected to ensure that larger vehicles accessing the facility do not have to be routed through residential areas'
MWWWMFSPD5	3.8 Paragraph	002	In granting any approval can the council dictate how the traffic approaches the site to ensure it does not go through residential areas. I think this is a silly statement because the traffic lorries or otherwise will take the most direct route and it that is through housing areas that will happen the proposed site on the south of Cambridge the HGV is just as likely to turn into Cambridge as onto M11 depending on where it is aiming go.	A routing agreement can be attached to a planning permission. If the developer is unwilling to enter into such an agreement and the proposal is not acceptable without it, then planning permission would not be	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD63	3.9 Paragraph	012	While we support the reference to sustainable modes of transport, it should also be reflected that where facilities are open to the public (Household Recycling Centres), reference should also be made to sustainable modes of transport being used by visitors as well as staff. Cycle parking should be maximised as there is an increasing use of bike trailers in Cambridge, which will help facilitate people visiting Household Recycling Centres by sustainable modes.	granted. See Councils response to representation MWWWMFSPD62	No further amendments required
MWWWMFSPD4	3.10 Paragraph	002	If the buffer is to be determined by the size of and type of facility there should be clear rules i.e. a unit of Xm2 will have a buffer of Y m minimum. A car park is hardly a buffer but an added noise and detrimental factor to any residential area potentially compounding the nuisance factor of the waste development.	The diagram used is an indicative cross section. The actual size and treatment of the buffer would depend on the location of facility provided. Each individual planning application will be dealt with as an individual case depending on variants such as site size etc. there may be instances where car park use could provide a buffer.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD64	3.10 Paragraph	012	Reference also needs to be made to cycle parking. Proposals within urban areas in particular should seek to maximise cycle parking and minimise car parking.	Agreed that cycle parking could be located within and buffer zone, and this should be included in the advice in paragraph 3.10. The reference to minimisation of car parking	Amend second sentence of paragraph 3.10 to read: These areas could include other employment land uses, or a buffer zone including uses such as car and cycle parking, landscape planting or open

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
				and maximising of cycle parking should be included in the Transport, Access, Circulation and Parking Section.	space Amend paragraph 4.13 to insert a new sentence after the first sentence, which reads: In general the provision of car parking should be minimised, and cycle parking should be maximised.
MWWWMFSPD11	3.11 Paragraph	002	There is contradiction even within the documents one strategy refers to 250m whilst this only shows 100m and this is only indicative if earlier comments are to be accepted. All the examples show extensive tree belts to screen. What is to be done when the landscape character is wide and open	Diagrams provided are indicative only; advice on individual facility types is also set out in Section 5 of the SPD. Circumstances will vary in individual cases, some facilities may require deeper buffer zones than indicated, other smaller. It is agreed that advice relating to landscaping facilities in open areas would be helpful. This should be added.	Include in the SPD (in Section 3, Rural Locations) advice on assimilating facilities in open areas where extensive planting may not be appropriate.
MWWWMFSPD65	3.11 Paragraph	012	Reference to encouraging sustainable modes of transport both for staff and visitors should be included here. Reference could also be made to where there is a potential for renewable energy generation,	The principles in para 3.11 relate to the location of facilities in urban locations. It is agreed that reference	Add to the principles in para 3.11 reference to the following when locating a facility in the urban area:

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			consideration is also given to the location of sites in areas that could allow for the development of district energy networks. Reference should also be made to the incorporation of Sustainable Drainage Systems, in terms of both their flood risk and climate change adaptation benefits.	to the following would be appropriate: locating where there is a potential for renewable energy generation and/or in areas that could allow for the development of district energy networks SUDs is already addressed in Section 4 and climate change / SUDs is again addressed in Section 1 (para's 1.25 to 1.27)	locating where there is a potential for renewable energy generation and/or in areas that could allow for the development of district energy networks
MWWWMFSPD66	3.12 Paragraph	012	While recognising that there may be some instances where the use of greenfield land for facilities may be inevitable, it is vital that such decisions are taken on the basis of a robust and credible assessment of all reasonable alternatives. Such assessments will need to be based on a variety of detailed assessments, such as transport assessments and landscape and visual appraisals. The use of Compulsory Purchase Powers must be considered where appropriate, for example where failure to do so would lead to a site in the Green Belt being sought.	Para 3.1 of the Guide states that where possible facilities should be located on previously developed land, but it is recognised that there may be some greenfield development. In the event of a planning application coming forward detailed assessments will be required under planning / other legislation. The decision whether to use compulsory purchase powers lies outside the scope of this SPD, and would only apply to public sector waste management	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD12	3.13 Paragraph	002	This is not going to stop HGV going through residential areas if it suits them.	development. If the Council is concerned that lorries are going to go through residential development to access a waste management development, a routing agreement can be attached to a planning permission. If the developer is unwilling to enter into such an agreement and the proposal is not acceptable without it, then planning permission would not be granted.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD34	3.14 Paragraph	003	In urban edge locations consideration must be given to the needs of the existing communities adjoining the development sites. Proposed change to SPD - Add to end of paragraph 3.14 the following 'The needs of the existing communities living and working adjacent to major development areas or in urban fringe areas must also be taken into account when considering where to locate a new waste facility.'	Agreed	Add to the end of para 3.14: The needs of the existing communities living and working adjacent to major development areas or in urban fringe areas must also be taken into account when considering where to locate a new waste facility.
MWWWMFSPD13	3.15 Paragraph	002	Change should to must	An SPD cannot make policy, but rather supplements. In this instance the SPD is setting out advice relevant to	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD67	3.16 Paragraph	012	<p>The City Council supports the recognition that this document gives to the role of sustainable design and construction in bringing forward new facilities. The principles of sustainable design and construction should be embedded within this document and the design checklist to ensure that consideration is given to them for the outset of planning for new facilities. We would suggest that reference to sustainable design and construction is added to all of the sections covering key principles.</p>	<p>buffer zones. Requirements may vary according to the individual type / form / location of the development. If this matter is not addressed to the satisfaction of the Waste Planning Authority the planning application will not be approved.</p>	
				<p>Sustainable construction is addressed in para's 4.50 to 4.59. Para 4.59 also includes a number of references to other sources of advice on sustainable construction, such as the Building Research Establishment and UK Centre for Economic and Environment Development. Sustainable construction is also included in the Design Checklist. It would not be appropriate to incorporate sustainable construction into all sections covering key principles as many of them relate to the principles of location rather than construction / design.</p>	<p>Add after para 4.58 a key principles section for sustainable construction.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD35	3.17 Paragraph	003	There needs to be consideration of impact that locating a waste management facility will have on the existing local communities in urban fringe and major development sites. The needs of these communities must be taken into account in planning these facilities. Proposed change to SPD - Add a principle in 3.17, 'The needs of the existing communities living and working adjacent to major development areas or in urban fringe areas must be taken into account.'	However, a key principles section for sustainable construction could be usefully added to encapsulate main points arising from this aspect of waste management development. This would bring consideration of this matter to the fore. Agreed (this would accord with earlier amendment , see para 3.14)	Add new bullet to para 3.17 to key principles relating to urban fringe / urban areas: The needs of the existing communities must be taken into account
MWWWMFSPD68	3.17 Paragraph	012	In planning for the integration of waste management facilities in new development sites, they must form part of the initial master planning phase. Suggest therefore that the first bullet point is amended to read "the integration of facilities must form part of the initial master planning".	The existing bullet point is already clear that 'Facilities should form part of the initial master plan'. During this process the location of waste management facilities will be considered alongside all potential uses and the best location for the development determined.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD36	3.18 Paragraph	003	The SPD promotes the idea of the co-location of related waste facilities. Whilst recognising that this has many	In many major development areas / new	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			<p>advantages it increases the scale of the development proposed in an area. In a rural setting this could increase the impact of the development on the surrounding countryside both in terms of the appearance of the development and the potential traffic generated by the facilities. This would be potentially more intrusive on the environment thereby reducing those sites that could be considered suitable.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Add to end of 3.18 the recognition that by co-locating waste facilities it will increase the scale of the development, which could impact more in a rural or urban fringe setting both in terms of the appearance of the development and the potential traffic generated by the facilities.</p>	<p>settlements the Minerals and Waste Plan makes provision for both a major waste management facility and a further Household Recycling Centre. In such instances co-location of facilities actually reduces the overall built footprint required, and minimises the amount of land required for landscaping / buffering / and access / parking arrangements.</p>	
MWWWMFSPD69	3.20 Paragraph	012	<p>The City Council supports the co-location of facilities, particularly where these maximise the efficient use of land and also enhance the viability of facilities such as anaerobic digestion, where an appropriate mix of feedstocks can be utilised. Careful consideration should be given to the potential for such sites to be located in areas that could allow for the development of district energy networks.</p>	<p>Noted. An amendment has already been suggested to ensure that the development of district energy networks is taken into account. (see 3.11 above)</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>
MWWWMFSPD37	3.22 Paragraph	003	<p>Welcomes the consideration of 'Temporary facilities' in the SPD.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>
MWWWMFSPD38	3.24 Paragraph	003	<p>For ease of using the SPD it would be helpful if in referring to particular documents web links were included to where these documents may be accessed as is done in relation to landscape matters at paragraph 4.24 of the SPD. It should also be clear who has published the documents.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Include web links to documents if they are mentioned in the SPD and also</p>	<p>Agreed, although the Councils cannot control web links changing.</p>	<p>Insert web links into SPD where it refers to other documents, wherever this is possible</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD39 & 40 (duplication)	4.1 Paragraph	003	<p>who has published them.</p> <p>South Cambs welcomes the idea that a design brief must be prepared prior to the commencement of any detailed design work. If a development were within our District we would wish to be consulted on this brief to ensure that the development meets our planning considerations.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - Add to paragraph 4.1. 'The Local Planning Authority will be consulted on this design brief to ensure that the development meets their planning considerations.'</p>	<p>It is agreed that local design guidance should be taken into account, but it would be more appropriate to do this at an earlier stage so it can inform the preparation of the design brief. The Local Planning Authority would still be consulted in due course</p>	<p>Add to the end of para 4.1: In preparing a design brief, the developer should contact the relevant Local Planning Authority and take into account any adopted local design guidance which the Authority has prepared.</p>
MWWWMFSPD125	4.3 Paragraph	010	<p>Overall approach to sustainability the use of green and brown roofs ... delete "should be considered" ... replace with "must be integrated". The lack of integration of such roof with the recently built extension building at the Milton Donarbon's site is highly regrettable and the built roof has an adverse impact on to the remaining countryside and greenbelt</p>	<p>A green / brown roof is not always appropriate. In the case of the Donarbon site there were operational reasons why a green / brown roof was not appropriate at this site.</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>
MWWWMFSPD70	4.4 Paragraph	012	<p>While the City Council supports an innovative approach to the design of Waste Management Facilities, we would query whether the use of metal frame structures with cladding will necessarily allow for this. The nature of buildings and the materials used in their construction needs to be informed by the surrounding context. This will be particularly important for sites on the edge of existing settlements where consideration will need to be given to the impact of proposals on the character and setting of areas. This is not to say that designs need to be a pastiche of the existing built form. In addition, careful consideration needs to be given to the choice of materials in terms of their sustainability merits; while the use of cladding such as profile sheeting may be a</p>	<p>Overall the SPD encourages innovative design and use of innovative materials where this is appropriate. It is agreed that this paragraph should not pre-judge the built form of facilities in an urban / rural edge location and a sentence should be added to reflect that there is still scope for use for more innovative materials.</p>	<p>Amend para 4.4 second sentence to read: .. The buildings by their nature are likely to be fairly large in scale, and can comprise of metal frame struts with cladding. However, there is still scope for more innovative design and use of alternative materials where this is appropriate.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			cheaper option, it needs to be replaced far more frequently than other materials, and may also limit the levels of insulation that can be applied to buildings. Consideration should be given to modern methods of construction and the use of more innovative materials, for example hempcrete and the lime and hemp block construction technique used for the Adhams Brewer Distribution Centre. The cradle to the grave methodology for assessing the environmental sustainability of materials should be considered in line with the BRE Green Guide.		
MWWWFMSPD110	4.10 Paragraph	013	Paragraph 4.10 would benefit from reference to the historic environment.	This is covered by the first bullet which refers to 'local distinctiveness'	No amendments required
MWWWFMSPD126	4.10 Paragraph	010	4.10 Key principles: Public art elements should be considered to features above tree line (chimney's vents etc) were in more urban or industrial locations but not rural / city edge.	The key principles encourage the design of features which are above the tree line e.g. vents and chimneys to be designed positively and as part of the scheme rather than an afterthought. In practice it is unlikely that such features would be regarded as public art.	No amendments required
MWWWFMSPD41	4.11 Paragraph	003	Welcomes the fact that local distinctiveness must be considered in the design of waste facilities.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWFMSPD71	4.11 Paragraph	012	The City Council supports the recognition of the importance of local distinctiveness in the design of new Waste Management Facilities.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWFMSPD111	4.11 Paragraph	013	The Isle of Man example in Paragraph 4.11 is not particularly helpful and not necessarily the best example	Views on the success of this building are personal	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			of how local distinctiveness can be incorporated into a major proposal.	and vary. In the context of the SPD it is used as an example of how the features of local distinctiveness may be interpreted and incorporated into the design of a facility.	
MWWWMFSPD72	4.12 Paragraph	012	Access for the disabled is essential in order to meet the DDA requirements. Therefore the second sentence should be amended to read "Access, circulation and parking should be integral to the site, and access for all users must be considered".	Amend the sentence to require consideration of access for all users.	Amend to read: 'Access, circulation and parking should be integral to the design of the site, and access for all users must be considered.'
MWWWMFSPD73	4.13 Paragraph	012	Reference could also be made here to the use of Green Travel Plans.	Agreed, although this text sits better in paragraph 4.12.	Amend paragraph 4.12, to insert new sentence (after second sentence) to read: The use of Green Travel Plans should be considered.
MWWWMFSPD74	4.14 Paragraph	012	Amend the first bullet point so that it also makes reference to pedestrians and cyclists, i.e. "Clear, safe circulation for HCVs, Cars, Cyclists and Pedestrians".	Agreed	Amend first bullet to read: Clear, safe circulation for HCVs, Cars, Cyclists and Pedestrians
MWWWMFSPD42	4.15 Paragraph	003	Welcome consideration of lighting impact	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD14	4.16 Paragraph	002	Down lighting MUST be used and especially near housing	Detailed guidance on lighting is provided in the SPD, including guidance on the main beam angle of lights and the brightness	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD106	4.16 Paragraph	014	The section on lighting needs to include a requirement to design and locate lighting so that it has minimal impact on biodiversity, in particular protected species which are sensitive to lighting such as bats.	appropriate to different areas Agreed, although this text sits better in paragraph 4.22.	Add new paragraph 4.22 (with consequential renumbering of subsequent paragraphs) to read: Developers should also take into account the sensitivities of biodiversity, in particular protected species which are sensitive to lighting, such as bats
MWWWMFSPD127	4.16 Paragraph	010	4.16 Lighting: Particular design solutions must be achieved to avoid light spillage and pollution at the rural/urban fringe locations as well as within the country side and Green Belt. This must be more stressed within the design guide.	Detailed guidance on lighting is provided in the SPD (para 4.17), including light levels for external lighting in different types of locations. It is clear that the acceptable lighting level for 'rural and small village locations' is considerably less than that for 'small town centres and urban locations'.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD75	4.17 Paragraph	012	When considering light levels for sites, consideration must also be given to the presence of light sensitive uses in the surrounding area (for example observatories) and impact on biodiversity, particularly protected species.	See MWWWMFSPD106 above. Each planning application would have different circumstance to take into account; the presence of particular facilities such as	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD108	4.22 Paragraph	015	In the sentence "Potential use of solar panels on rooftops to reduce energy cost and environmental impact." The term "solar panels" is too specific and will limit other choices, so Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggests a change to the term "Micro generation of power" instead.	observatories would be taken into account at that time. It is agreed that this sentence could be expanded to take account of other forms of innovations which may contribute to reduced energy costs and environmental impact	Amend fourth bullet point to read: Potential use of solar panels on roof tops and / or other forms of micro generation of power to reduce energy cost and environmental impact
MWWWMFSPD15	4.23 Paragraph	002	Additional sentence Therefore all proposals will come forward with effective boundaries and screening before being considered	It is not reasonable or possible for the Planning Authority to require landscaping and screening to be provided prior to a proposed development being considered. Such matters would be dealt with through a planning application.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD104	4.24 Paragraph	014	We support the general principles of this document and the criteria used to determine the location of waste management facilities. However, we believe the wording could be strengthened in relation to the protection of designated sites to state that development likely to have an adverse effect on nationally and internationally designated sites will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that appropriate mitigation can be implemented which will prevent any negative effects. This section should also include a reference to the	This paragraph relates to landscape and boundary treatments and seeks to ensure that new planting is consistent with the landscape character of the development area. The representation addresses wider issues concerning the protection of designated sites and	Add new sentence at the end of paragraph 4.24: The Councils policy on biodiversity, including the protection of designated sites and protected species, is in the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (Policy CS35). A

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD16	4.25 Paragraph	002	<p>requirements of PPS9, including statutory requirements applying to designated sites and protected species and wider biodiversity in the planning system. This should include reference to the need for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Environmental Impact Assessment, where required under the relevant regulations.</p> <p>Particular impacts on biodiversity likely to be associated with waste facilities could include noise, emissions to air, drainage/pollution and consideration of these is likely to be required as part of any environmental assessment.</p>	<p>species. This matter is addressed through the parent Minerals and Waste Core Strategy where an overarching policy addresses precisely the points raised. This policy must be taken into account for any proposed development and does not need to be repeated in the SPD. However, it would be appropriate to remind developers of its existence and include a cross reference to it in para 4.24</p>	<p>supporting appendix to Policy CS35 (Appendix C) provides detailed advice on species and habitats which could potentially be enhanced through waste management development.</p>
			<p>Sufficient space MUST be allowed for quality landscape treatment.</p> <p>Native species should be included but where appropriate evergreen species will be included in addition to provide year round screening</p>	<p>Site issues such as boundary treatments and depth of landscaping would be dealt with at planning application stage, through the provision of a landscaping plan.</p> <p>It is already suggested that a cross reference also be made to the Minerals and Waste Plan where further advice on species and habitats can be found. (See proposed response to representation MWWMFSPD104 above)</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD17	4.26 Paragraph	002	In the unlikely event that this fencing is used the option for stating it will be screened in the longer term will be unacceptable, it has to be already established mature planting	This paragraph is clear that galvanised palisade fencing will rarely be acceptable in either an urban or a rural setting. The only exception to this is if it is screened by high quality mature planting. There is no reference in this paragraph to screening being provided in the longer term, it would need to be put in place when the fence is erected.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD18	4.29 Paragraph	002	addition sentence Therefore the provision of space for substantial landscape planting zones will be more important	This paragraph deals with mounding as a potential boundary treatment. It acknowledges that as Cambridgeshire is largely a flat county, mounds would rarely be appropriate. It is implicit that if this is the case another form of boundary treatment would need to be pursued.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD76	4.29 Paragraph	012	The City Council supports the recognition that large man-made mounds are rarely in keeping with the surrounding landscape character. It is not acceptable to alter landforms by mounding, as this would introduce an alien character to the landscape of Cambridgeshire	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD19	4.31 Paragraph	002	Off site planting in principle is fine, however how will it be enforced and retained should the land change	Off site planting would be secured through a legal	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			hands?	agreement (Section 106). This agreement would be entered in to by all relevant parties including the landowner. In the event that the land is sold the legal entitlements and duties would be transferred to the new owner.	
MWWMFSPD20	4.34 Paragraph	002	The developer needs to prove as part of any submission that noise factors can be controlled and will be provided as part of the development and not be an issue that can be conditioned as an add on to any permission. It is expected that no only will they meet environmental targets but if at the time of development there are greater advances in technology to further decrease noise they will be included as part of the design.	The Councils would seek to ensure through pre-application discussions that appropriate technology to address potential noise emissions is proposed, and if necessary will require that a supporting noise assessment accompany the planning application. Noise mitigation measures would be secured through the imposition of planning conditions. If the Council is not satisfied that noise would be adequately addressed permission would not be given for the waste management development. It is not possible to require the use of subsequent new technology once the planning permission is	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD43	4.34 Paragraph	003	<p>Welcome consideration of noise in SPD and reference to PPG 24 Planning and Noise.</p> <p>Waste Management Sites have potential to cause significant and complex noise impact.</p> <p>Refers to a noise reportand appropriate mitigation measures.....but does not detail that main purpose is to assess noise impact locally, characterise the existing noise climate at noise sensitive premises and use the best practical means to mitigate any adverse noise as necessary.</p> <p>Reference is made to guidance against World Health Organisation Community Noise Guidelines and actual dB levels are quoted e.g. 55dB day 45 night and or no more than 5 to 10 dB increase in background.</p> <p>Reference to actual dB noise levels should be used with caution as these levels can be misleading and understate impact.</p> <p>They have been revised and there are new Night time Guidelines for Europe both of which recommend lower noise levels depending on environment to be protected. For example 55dB is now considered as likely to cause serious annoyance in external amenity areas. Noise levels are relative to existing. A 10 db increase above background is a positive indication that complaints are likely.</p> <p>There are also potential impact dB max levels, which are particularly disturbing.</p> <p>Proposed changes to SPD –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide detailed explanation of purpose of noise report to safeguard amenity and minimise noise disturbance. 2) Remove any reference to actual dB noise levels and 	<p>issued.</p> <p>It is agreed that this paragraph could usefully explain that the purpose of a noise assessment is to assess noise impact locally, characterise the existing noise climate at noise sensitive premises and to help ensure that the best practical means is used to mitigate any adverse noise.</p> <p>It is also agreed that the inclusion of specific dB noise levels may become superseded and a generic reference to complying with noise guidance provided by the World Health Organisation, and best practice such as British Standards would be more appropriate. (Reference to complying with PPG24 is already included)</p>	<p>Insert in paragraph 4.34, after 7th sentence: The purpose of a noise survey is to assess noise impact locally, characterise the existing noise climate at noise sensitive premises, and to help ensure that the best practical means is used to mitigate any adverse noise.</p> <p>Revise penultimate sentence of paragraph 4.34 to read: Limits could be set against guidance such as that provided by the World Health Organisation, and best practice such as the British Standards.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD44	4.35 Paragraph	003	<p>simply state that noise will be assessed on a site-by-site basis on its merits having regard to local circumstances. Simply refer to assessment in accordance with PPG 24 methodology and recommended noise guidance in WHO and best practice such as British Standards.</p> <p>Table 4.35 Key Principles not an exhaustive list. No reference to off site noise such as traffic movements and routing, which can be a concern to local communities</p> <p>Proposed changes to SPD -</p> <p>1) Recommend that footnote stating that list is not exhaustive and best practical means will be used to mitigate noise impact may include an all encompassing Site Noise Management Plan to include key principles listed.</p> <p>2) Reassurance that indirect off site noise issues such as any off site traffic movements will also be considered</p>	<p>Paragraph 4.35 clearly states that a noise report should indicate the types of activity and predicted noise levels, including details of traffic movements and hours of operation, along with appropriate mitigation and noise level monitoring and reporting. It goes on to require that noise limits should be set at boundaries or at sensitive receptors.</p> <p>In practice it is not unusual for these sensitive receptors to be at properties or points which are off site. These points, and monitoring / reporting arrangements, would be agreed with the Council (if necessary through a legal agreement). This latter point could be included in the paragraph to make it clear what may be</p>	<p>Insert new sentence after sentence 8 of paragraph 4.35: The latter may include noise monitoring at agreed points / sensitive receptors which could be off site. In such circumstances the Councils may require that noise monitoring and reporting arrangements be secured through a legal agreement.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD21	4.36 Paragraph	002	There is no specific requirement within this to provide the best possible outcome, the developer must use the latest technology available at the time of development to achieve the maximum benefit for air quality	<p>expected.</p> <p>The section on air quality is clear that appropriate mitigation measures must be included in any waste management development. It outlines a number of possible measures and the most appropriate may depend on specific local circumstances. It may not be the latest technology that is available. In determining any proposals specialist advice will be sought, including the views of the local Environmental Health Officer.</p>	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD45	4.36 Paragraph	003	<p>Welcomes consideration of air quality in SPD</p> <p>The SPD considers the air quality of waste facilities but narrows this consideration to the impact arising from traffic. First sentence refers to dust....from traffic. Narrow scope. There should also be included the air quality issues arising from the on-site operations of the facility.</p> <p>Mitigation to include filters is confusing in this paragraph as various types of abatement technology are available</p> <p>Proposed changes to SPD -</p> <p>1) There should be consideration given to the air quality issues arising from on and off site dust etc from traffic and from on site operations of the facility.</p>	<p>This first sentence of this paragraph is ambiguous and may be read as being inappropriately limited by the reference to traffic. It should be clarified that a wider scope is intended.</p>	<p>Amend first sentence of paragraph 4.36 to read: There should be consideration given to the air quality issues arising from on and off site dust, this may come from different sources for example, traffic, and from the on site operations of the facility.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD46	4.38 Paragraph	003	<p>2) Mention should be made of the fact that mitigation / abatement measures available depend on the abatement technology available relative to physical and chemical composition of emission / pollutant.</p> <p>4.38 states "Locating facilities inside buildings with biofilters, could reduce this distance" The meaning of this sentence is not clear in what context is distance being used. What distance could be reduced? Windrow composting or similar can raise particular concern in terms of bioaerosols and this should warrant special mention.</p> <p>Proposed changes to SPD -</p> <p>1) Clarification required in terms of what 'distance' is being referred to in 4.38.</p> <p>2) Also reference should be made to Environment Agency position statement on Composting and the Potential Health Effects from Bioaerosols and it should be stated that any application is likely to need a site specific bioaerosol risk assessments and odour risk assessment / dispersal modelling.</p>	<p>This sentence in paragraph 4.38 is incomplete, it should read:</p> <p>"Locating facilities inside buildings with biofilters could reduce the distance a composting operation should be from sensitive receptors e.g. residential properties.'</p> <p>It is agreed that reference to the Environment Agency's advice would be useful.</p>	<p>Amend the last two sentences of paragraph 4.38 to read:</p> <p>"Locating facilities inside buildings with biofilters could reduce the distance a composting operation should be from sensitive receptors e.g. residential properties. The Environment Agency monitors emissions from waste management developments and developers should seek their latest advice on bio-aerosols. A bioaerosol / odour risk assessment may be necessary'</p>
MWWMFSPD47	4.40 Paragraph	003	<p>No mention is made of how energy requirements will be met / achieve and any impact on air quality objectives. Particular concern if biomass used and if near air quality management area.</p> <p>Proposed change to SPD - A statement needs to be included in the section on air quality that energy requirements will have due regard to emissions and pollutants under national air quality objectives.</p>	<p>A paragraph 4.36 concerns air quality, and already advises that Emissions from most energy from waste facilities will be monitored and regulated by the Environment Agency through their environmental permitting</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD113	4.40 Paragraph	015	<p>About the principle "Submission of a waste management licence at the same time as a planning application would encourage a holistic consideration of the design and site management issues and operational issues ", based on Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team's experience normally a waste management licence is sought after planning permission. However, discussion with the EA is essential in the pre-application consultation phase. We feel this statement is too prescriptive and should be changed to reflect "where it is beneficial to the planning application."</p>	<p>regime. It goes on to advise that particulate concentrations are particularly high in Cambridgeshire and Peterborough, and the contribution of any waste management facility could be relevant to the attainment of local air quality objectives.</p> <p>National air quality policy / objectives would apply to any proposals in any event, and it is not appropriate to repeat this in local guidance.</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD48	4.41 Paragraph	003	Welcome consideration of water in SPD	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD77	4.42 Paragraph	012	The City Council supports the recognition of the role of SUDs. However, we feel that the SPD should also acknowledge the wider climate change adaptation benefits that SUDs present other than simply minimising flood risk. The cooling benefits of SUDs may be particularly beneficial for waste proposals where increasing temperatures linked to climate change may otherwise cause environmental impacts.	This section deals with water related issues, therefore a reference to the wider role of SUDs would not be appropriate here. The SPD already includes a comprehensive section on Climate Change (paragraphs 1.25 to 1.27), which includes reference to national guidance and the Councils own policy on climate change. This includes reference to SUDs, though specific reference to its cooling role is not made as this can also be achieved through a wide variety of methods.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD22	4.45 Paragraph	002	The environment agency must approve the design factors for pest control and means of monitoring and enforcing it before any permission is granted	The Environment Agency is a statutory consultee on planning applications for waste management development. It therefore has ample opportunity to comment on any aspect of the application, including proposals for addressing pest control.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD49	4.45	003	It would be helpful to include a contact / web link to the	Applicants are advised by	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
	Paragraph		appropriate section of the Environment Agency who deals with pest control. Proposed change to SPD - Add appropriate contact details for Environment Agency dealing with pest control.	this paragraph to seek the advice of the Environment Agency on design issues relating to pest control. This function is carried out at the local level. There does not appear to be a suitable weblink on the Environment Agency's national website.	
MWWWMFSPD50	4.46 Paragraph	003	Need to have more details and web link to the document mentioned in this paragraph – 'Secure by Design' published by ACPO. Proposed change to SPD - Add more details about the publication 'Secure by Design' and a web link.	This reference needs to be corrected. A web link can be provided, although the Councils cannot ensure that this will not change.	Amend the penultimate sentence of paragraph 4.46 to read: The principles in 'Secured by Design' published by the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) should be followed. This can be found on www.securedbydesign.com
MWWWMFSPD51	4.50 Paragraph	003	Welcome consideration of these matters.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD78	4.51 Paragraph	012	The City Council supports the aims to minimise carbon emissions from buildings, however there needs to be recognition here that the reduction of carbon emissions should relate to all energy use on the site, not just buildings. Reference is made to the use of green electricity and clarification is required as to whether this refers to 'green tariffs' operated by electricity suppliers. If these facilities are to fully embrace their role in responding to climate change, then the use of green tariffs is not acceptable, and is not in keeping with	The SPD includes a comprehensive section on Climate Change (paragraphs 1.25 to 1.27), which includes reference to national guidance and the Councils own policy on climate change. This latter policy requires that waste management proposals	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			<p>Government consultations on zero carbon development and allowable solutions. Proposals for Waste Management Facilities must maximise the use and generation of on-site renewable and low carbon energy.</p>	<p>must take account of climate change for the lifetime of the development This includes setting out how the proposal will make use of renewable energy e.g. including opportunities for generating energy from waste for use beyond the boundaries of the site itself, and use of decentralised and renewable or low carbon energy. This policy will apply to all waste management development. However, the scale of such development may vary considerably and it is not feasible to expect that all facilities will generate their own power on site. In these circumstances green tariffs may be appropriate.</p>	
MWWWMFSPD79	4.55 Paragraph	012	<p>The City Council would recommend that the first sentence of this paragraph is amended to ensure that developers do make use of high levels of insulation. Not only will this have benefits in terms of maximising energy efficiency, but there will also be the additional benefits in terms of noise reduction.</p>	<p>This sentence could be made more positive, although it cannot go beyond providing advice.</p>	<p>Amend first sentence of paragraph 4.55 to read: Developers are advised to consider including high level of insulation in their new buildings.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD80	4.57 Paragraph	012	With regards to water conservation measures, grey water recycling could have the potential for wider use on-site than merely flushing of toilets, for example wheel cleaning facilities.	Agreed, amend the paragraph to reflect this opportunity.	Amend second bullet point in paragraph 4.57 to read: - potential grey water recycling systems for flushing and other uses, such as wheel cleaning facilities
MWWWMFSPD81	4.59 Paragraph	012	Reference should also be made to guidance documents produced by the districts in their role as local planning authorities. For example, reference could be made to Cambridge City Council's Sustainable Design and Construction SPD (2007).	Agreed, add an additional sentence advising developers to consider local sustainable design advice.	Add additional sentence to paragraph 4.59: In Cambridgeshire developers should also take account of any additional advice at the local level on sustainable design and construction. This can be obtained from the relevant local planning authority i.e. District or City Council.
MWWWMFSPD52	5.1 Paragraph	003	This is a useful chapter to understand the needs of the different forms of waste management facilities.	Noted	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD107	5.1 Paragraph	014	The sections on noise, air quality and water should address the potential for impacts to sensitive sites and species. The table 'Key Design and Management Issues' should include a section on environmental impacts, including designated sites and protected species/wider biodiversity. This should be further addressed, as appropriate, throughout section 5.	This Section deals with design and management issues of particular types of facilities. General advice on location and design is given in the previous sections. However, it is agreed that specific advice in relation to protection of designated sites and species would be useful,	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD83	5.2 Paragraph	012	<p>Re: Table of Key Design and Management Issues for Material Recovery Facilities: Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.</p>	<p>and it has been suggested previously (under the Councils response to representation MWWMFSPD104), that a cross reference to the Minerals and waste Plan which sets out this information and provides details on wider biodiversity issues is appropriate. However, it would not be appropriate to repeat such general advice in this Section.</p>	
				<p>It is agreed that it would be appropriate to include reference to cycle parking, and that the sentence could be re-written to place sustainable transport before parking. This can be done throughout Section 5.</p> <p>With regard to the inclusion of sustainable design and construction in this section, it is already stated that general advice on location and design is given in previous sections, and this is where advice on</p>	<p>All tables in Section 5, Key Design and Management Issues – Traffic / Access. Amend the sentence where it occurs to read: Encourage use of sustainable transport and provision of cycle parking for visitors and staff, and adequate parking for staff.</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD134	5.2 Paragraph	011	Paragraph 2.3 would suggest "co-mingled" is added so it reads... "These facilities receive source separated, co-mingled, commercial..." Same update for para 5.2	sustainable construction is given. It would not be appropriate to repeat general guidance again. Agreed	Revise para 5.2 to read: 'These facilities receive source separated, co-mingled, commercial...'
MWWWMFSPD53	5.3 Paragraph	003	Concern at the consideration of locating of a Materials Recovery Facility as being appropriate for location within a major development as is stated in this paragraph. Proposed change to SPD - Comment should be provided on whether the environmental impact is compatible with residential development.	This Section is clearly suggesting that many nuisances associated with putrescible waste do not apply to MRFs, as the waste dealt with is often paper, cardboard, plastics and cans. Whilst there would still be potential impacts such as traffic, noise and litter, facilities would be located in buildings with good quality design and mitigation. This means that they could be located close to residential areas, although it is made clear that a buffer would be required. Each case would need to be considered on its merits and in cases where new townships / urban extensions are to be	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
				built there would an opportunity to consider the location of such facilities at the master planning stage. The following table (after paragraph 5.3) sets out more detailed consideration of key design and management issues, and includes advice such as routing of traffic.	
MWWWMFSPD82	5.3 Paragraph	012	Reference should also be made to the conflict between HCVs and other road users, namely cyclists and pedestrians.	The following table (after paragraph 5.3) sets out more detailed consideration of key design and management issues, and includes advice on the routing of traffic away from inappropriate roads, residential areas and schools. It also includes the use of routing agreements. Such measures would seek to reduce potential conflict between different road users.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD136	5.3 Paragraph	016	It is very important that facilities are located close to a rail network, not just a road network. Facilities situated close to a rail line would enable rail transport to take away finished products which would be more economically viable than by road. This would be	These detailed comments are noted. These tables are intended to be a quick reference point to pick up the main design and	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD133	Paragraph 5.4	011	<p>possible even if the length of the access road for public was longer than envisaged.</p> <p>Table Page 43 – point 3 – locate near good road or rail access. Noise – point 15 – acoustic fencing – should not be necessary if the plan is adequately sound protected – point 11 use of bio filters – filters should be fitted to all the outlets to minimise any output to the atmosphere. – point 22 – storage of bulked up waste – there should be adequate space and provision for storage of all products at all different stages of the process, and means for removal of this excess of storage if necessary. – point 23 – vermin proof design – this should be of a high standard to cause no possibility of distance for public or staff on site. – point 27 – site management practice, should be fully documented and subject to review on inspection by HSA and local authority once every 3 months minimum.</p> <p>Para 5.4 “8 to 14 weeks” is unrealistic and should be along the lines of 14 to 24 weeks.</p>	<p>management issues, further detailed advice on all these matters are in the main body of the document. The table following this paragraph does make it clear that such facilities should be located near good road or rail access.</p>	No amendments required
MWWMFSPD84	Paragraph 5.5	012	<p>Re: Table of Key Design and Management Issues for Windrow Composting</p> <p>Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table</p>	<p>This figure is indicative and has been confirmed by reference to proposals for composting within the Plan area. However, it is noted that the time taken to compost can vary according to the nature of the feedstock and the method of composting.</p> <p>It is already proposed to include reference to cycle parking, and that the sentence could be re-written to place sustainable</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	transport before parking. (see response to representation MWWWMFSPD83). With regard to the inclusion of sustainable design and construction in this section, it is already stated that general advice on location and design is given in previous sections, and this is where advice on sustainable construction is given. It would not be appropriate to repeat general guidance again.	
MWWWMFSPD54	Paragraph 5.6	003	Concern at the locating of a 'In Vessel Composting Facility' as being appropriate for location within a major development as is stated in this paragraph. Such facilities generate high volume of HCVs. Proposed change to SPD - Mention should be made in this section to the fact that due regard should be given to Environment Agency position statement Composting and the Potential Health Effects from Bioaerosols - specific bioaerosol risk assessments should continue to take place if the proposed site is within 250 metres of sensitive premises.	In vessel composting would be located in buildings with good quality design and mitigation, which means that they could be located close to residential areas, although it is made clear that a buffer of 250m would be required from sensitive receptors. Each case would need to be considered on its merits and in cases where new townships / urban	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD85	Paragraph 5.6	012	<p>Reference should also be made here to the location of facilities close to the rail network in order to maximise sustainable modes of transport. We would wish to see clarification in this paragraph that such facilities may not be suitable in residential led major developments, due to the amenity impacts of large numbers of HCVs.</p> <p>Re: Table of key design and management issues for In Vessel Composting (p. 47)</p> <p>Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.</p>	<p>extensions are to be built there would an opportunity to consider the location of such facilities at the master planning stage.</p> <p>General advice on bioaerosols is to be included in the earlier part of the SPD (See response to representation MWWMFSPD46), it would not be appropriate to repeat it fully here.</p>	
				<p>In vessel composting would be located in buildings with good quality design and mitigation, which means that they could be located close to residential areas, although it is made clear that a buffer of 250m would be required from sensitive receptors. Each case would need to be considered on its merits and in cases where new townships / urban extensions are to be built there would an opportunity to consider the location of such facilities at the master</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD86	5.9 Paragraph	012	<p>Reference should also be made here to the location of facilities close to the rail network in order to maximise sustainable modes of transport. Careful consideration should also be given to the potential for such sites to be located in areas that could allow for the development of district energy networks.</p> <p>Table of key design and management issues for Anaerobic Digestion (p. 49):</p> <p>Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.</p>	<p>planning stage.</p> <p>The supporting table after paragraph 5.9 clearly states that such facilities should be located near good road or rail access. The issue of energy generation is addressed in Section 4 of the SPD which clearly states that the use of heat recovery systems should be investigated, as well as the feasibility of the generation of renewable energy and the use of green electricity and heating.</p> <p>It is already proposed to include reference to cycle parking, and that the sentence could be re-written to place sustainable transport before parking. The matter of including sustainable construction here has also been answered (see response to representation MWWWMFSPD83).</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD87	5.11 Paragraph	012	Table of key design and management issues for Inert Waste Processing Facilities (p. 51): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	It is already proposed to include reference to cycle parking, and that the sentence could be re-written to place sustainable transport before parking. (see response to representation MWWMFSPD83). With regard to the inclusion of sustainable design and construction in this section, it is already stated that general advice on location and design is given in previous sections, and this is where advice on sustainable construction is given. It would not be appropriate to repeat general guidance again.	No amendments required
MWWMFSPD90	5.12 Paragraph	012	Table of key design and management issues for Energy from Waste (p. 53): Reference should be made to the need for appropriate abatement measures to be put in place to minimise negative impacts on air quality.	These tables are intended to be a quick reference point to pick up the main design and management issues, further detailed advice on all these matters are in the main body of the document. The table does highlight that dust suppression should be integral to the building and	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD88	5.13 Paragraph	012	Table of key design and management issues for Energy from Waste (p. 53): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	See response to representation MWWWMFSPD83 machinery.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD89	5.14 Paragraph	012	The City Council supports the provision of Household Recycling Centres to maximise recycling. It may be appropriate to add a reference to the role that such facilities can play in educating people about the role that recycling has to play, and educational opportunities could be incorporated into the design of such facilities.	It is agreed that a reference would be appropriate.	Add additional sentence to the end of paragraph 5.14: Household Recycling Centres can also include an educational function advising people about recycling generally and the role of Household Recycling Centres. If this function is to be provided as an integral part of the design and operation of such facilities.
MWWWMFSPD114	5.14 Paragraph	015	1. In the second row "predominantly for recycling and recovery purposes" Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggests changing to "predominantly for recycling and reuse purposes" Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team do not treat the waste on recycling sites. 2. Due to this system not allowing us to make the comments for the Glossary in part (6), Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team also puts a	Agreed.	Amend second sentence of paragraph 5.14 to read: The purpose of these facilities is to provide a point to which householders can bring their waste, predominantly for recycling and reuse, including garden waste,

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			comment about the Glossary in here: As normally the Household Recycling Centre also collects garden waste, so for the definition for the Household Recycling Centre(HRC) in the Glossary table we suggest changing it to "A facility where the public can dispose of bulky household and garden waste."		<p>rubble / hardcore, cardboard, glass, textiles, metal, batteries waste engine oil, and bulky items.</p> <p>Glossary, amend the definition of Household recycling Centre to read: A facility where the public can dispose of bulky household and garden waste.</p>
MWWMFSPD91	5.15 Paragraph	012	Reference should also be made to sustainable modes of transport being used by visitors as well as staff. Cycle parking should be maximised as there is an increasing use of bike trailers in Cambridge, which will help facilitate people visiting Household Recycling Centres by sustainable modes.	See response to representation MWWMFSPD83	No amendments required
MWWMFSPD115	5.15 Paragraph	015	Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team feedback. In 5.15 the first 3 sentences are too prescriptive, the site operation is dependent on the agreement between county council and their contractors. Do not detail an operational explanation in here. (Note: This comments is for the public recycling centres not include the private sites)	<p>The first sentence states that a Household Recycling Centre must be accessible to members of the public; this is considered essential as it is a facility for the public.</p> <p>The second and third sentences are descriptive, explaining that the public are responsible for transferring their waste from their vehicle to the</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD116	5.17 Paragraph	015	Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team believe the number shows in here are incorrect. Our research demonstrates that recycling centres tend to be a minimum of 1.2 ha and handle between 10,000 - 15,000 tpa(tones per annum) maximum.	correct collection bay, and that once full containers within the bays are sheeted, removed and replaced. These sentences are not considered prescriptive. It is agreed that the figures should be amended to reflect the local situation. However the upper limit on the amount of waste to be handled needs to be retained as the guidance also covers the Peterborough area, where a larger throughput is possible.	Amend paragraph 5.17, second sentence to read: Generally, sites tend to be around 1.2 hectares, and can handle between 10,000 to 25,000 tpa>
MWWWMFSPD23	5.18 Paragraph	002	Full detail as to control of car fumes, running engines etc from cars queuing will be required, and a traffic system whereby if queues are too long cars/ lorries etc have to move off.	This paragraph already states that careful transport planning is required to minimise queuing. It also advises that design needs to separate public traffic from the operational traffic, and there should be minimal conflict with those driving through once their waste is deposited.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD117	5.18 Paragraph	015	Earlier in the document it referred to "different waste streams" Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggests that the use of "different	Agreed	Amend last sentence to read: There also needs to be

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			waste streams deposit areas" to replace "different bins" in the paragraph.		easy accessibility to the different waste stream deposit areas by the public, but minimal conflict with those driving through once they have deposited their waste.
MWWWMFSPD92	5.19 Paragraph	012	Reference should also be made to the location of facilities in relation to cycle networks. Table of key design and management issues for Household Recycling facilities (p. 56): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	Amendments are being suggested to include provision for cycle parking, see response to representation MWWWMFSPD83. Whilst cycle accessibility is a factor that can be taken into account, the SPD places greater emphasis on the accessibility of Household Recycling Centres by car and by the HCVs which service the facilities. These potentially have greater adverse impacts which must be satisfactorily addressed	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD118	5.19 Paragraph	015	1. The sentence "Facilities have the potential to cause nuisance from litter and odour." this does not happen in any of the existing stand alone County Recycling Centres within Cambridgeshire, so Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggests	Point 1 - there is potential for such sites to give rise to litter and odour. However, if a site is well managed this will not occur and the	Table on page 56, air and dust, second point, amend to read: Operational waste management practices,

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			that it is deleted as it provides an opportunity for unrealistic objections, if it says it in the book then it is perceived as true. 2. In the table "Key design and management issues in the "Air and Dust" section the sentence "including rapid treatment of material" is incorrect as Cambridgeshire's Household Recycling Centres do not treat waste on site, so Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggest "regularly remove the material off site for treatment".	ways of managing this issue are set out in the following table i.e. litter fencing, appropriate storage and site management practices. Point 2, agreed	including the regular removal of material off site for treatment to avoid breakdown of waste.
MWWWFSPD119	5.19 Paragraph	015	In the table "Flies, vermin and birds" section based on Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team's experiences normally "Vermin Proof design" this suggests vermin are an issue at recycling centres and this is not the case. Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team suggests that this is removed, or modified to reflect where appropriate	It is noted that vermin is not an issue on Cambridgeshire sites. However, if good operational practices are not in place there is potential for vermin problems to occur. The table on page 57 sets out examples of good practice to avoid such problems arising.	No amendments required
MWWWFSPD120	5.21 Paragraph	015	Base on Cambridgeshire County Council Waste Management Team's site management experience we believe the sentence "Facilities are likely to generate high volumes of traffic, particularly HCVs " is incorrect as Recycling Centres generate low volumes of traffic, so we suggest it is amended or removed.	Comments are noted. This Section deals with waste transfer stations not Household Recycling Centres, although it is acknowledged that the two may be co-located. Waste transfer stations can vary considerably in size (as noted in paragraph 5.20),	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD93	5.22 Paragraph	012	<p>Reference is made to the potential for these facilities to be located in major new developments. We would wish to see clarification in this paragraph that such facilities may not be suitable in residential led major developments, due to the amenity impacts of large numbers of HCVs.</p> <p>Table of key design and management issues for Transfer/Bulking Up Facilities (p. 58): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.</p>	<p>and therefore can give rise to high volumes of traffic, particularly HCVs, as the SPD states. This sentence should be retained to reflect this situation.</p> <p>These facilities would be located in buildings with good quality design and mitigation, which means that they could be located close to residential areas, although it is made clear that an adequate buffer would be required from sensitive receptors. Each case would need to be considered on its merits and in cases where new townships / urban extensions are to be built there would be an opportunity to consider the location of such facilities at the master planning stage.</p>	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD94	5.25 Paragraph	012	<p>Reference is made to the potential for these facilities to be located in major new developments. We would wish to see clarification in this paragraph that such facilities may not be suitable in residential led major developments, due to the amenity impacts of large numbers of HCVs.</p>	<p>These facilities would be located in buildings with good quality design and mitigation, which means that they could be located close to residential areas,</p>	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			Table of key design and management issues for Mechanical and Biological Treatment (p. 60): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	although it is made clear that an adequate buffer would be required from sensitive receptors. Each case would need to be considered on its merits and in cases where new townships / urban extensions are to be built there would be an opportunity to consider the location of such facilities at the master planning stage.	
MWWWMFSPD95	5.28 Paragraph	012	Reference should also be made here to the location of facilities close to the rail network in order to maximise sustainable modes of transport. Table of key design and management issues for Pyrolysis and Gasification (p. 62): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.	The following table does advise that such facilities should be located near good road or rail access. See also response to representation MWWWMFSPD83 (in relation to cycle parking).	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD96	5.29 Paragraph	012	Reference could be included here to the potential for waste water treatment works generating renewable energy. It should be noted that the completed study for the review of the Regional Spatial Strategy (Placing Renewables in the East of England, February 2008) recommends that in drafting local development documents, local planning authorities should encourage	Agreed	Add additional wording to paragraph 5.29 highlighting opportunities for renewable energy generation.

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWMFSPD97	5.30 Paragraph	012	<p>the use of sewage gas plants at wastewater treatment works throughout the region.</p> <p>Table of key design and management issues for Waste Water Treatment (p. 64): Under traffic and access, specific reference should be made to cycle parking, and sustainable modes of transport should come before car parking. This table should also consider sustainable design and construction, which should be imbedded in the design of waste management facilities.</p>	See response to representation MWWMFSPD83.	No amendments required
MWWMFSPD24	Table 1	002	If the site is allocated and some of these criteria cannot be met what happens then is it just best case scenario i.e. can't fully screen within the landscape but a hedge will do. This check list seems a bit late in the day if the site is approved in principle.	Sites are assessed on a variety of grounds prior to being allocated in a Development Plan. The assessment of potential waste allocations included a landscape assessment. If there were any insurmountable problems in this respect the site would not have been allocated.	No amendments required
MWWMFSPD25	Table 2	002	If the site is allocated and some of these criteria cannot be met what happens then is it just best case scenario, in the wrong setting. This check list seems a bit late in the day if the site is approved in principle	The circumstances of each site will vary, and some of the key principles may have more relevance than others. The advice in the SPD will apply to sites which have been allocated (and therefore have been subject to some prior assessment), but also sites	No amendments required

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
				which have not been allocated. The purpose of the SPD is to provide supplemental advice, building on the policies in the Minerals and Waste Plan; it will therefore apply to all sites which come forward.	
MWWWMFSPD26	Table 7	002	If no is it an automatic refusal? If the strategy has been provided will it be delivered on site. How is it ensured it is not watered down	The circumstances of each site will vary, and some of the principles in the SPD may have more relevance than others. In all cases a decision will be made on the proposals having considered all factors, including the policies in the parent Minerals and Waste Core Strategy. If overall the proposal is unacceptable it will be refused.	No amendments required
MWWWMFSPD55	Table 1	003	There should be a question asking whether the needs of the existing community adjacent to a proposed waste facility development have been taken into consideration. Proposed change to SPD - Add a question asking whether the needs of the existing community adjacent to a proposed waste facility development have been taken into consideration.	Whether the facility is needed, and it's potential positive or negative impacts on the local community will be considered in the context of policies in the parent Minerals and Waste Core Strategy. The focus of this	No amendments required.

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
MWWWMFSPD98	Table 1	012	<p>While we support the principles behind the design checklist, it is felt that considerable changes should be made to the checklist to enhance its usefulness in assessing the design of waste management facilities. In addition, if the intention is for all developers to complete this checklist and submit it with their planning application, then it needs to be far more prominent in the document, and needs to be separate from the glossary.</p> <p>In addition, Sustainable Design and Construction measures should be an integral part of the design process and as such should be integrated into all elements of the checklist. As currently drafted, the consideration of energy efficiency and sustainable design and construction seem to be an afterthought. The specific changes that we feel should be made to the checklist are as follows:</p> <p>SITING Add the following to the section on siting:- Is the site located in the Green Belt?. Has consideration been given to other sites for this facility?.</p> <p>Is the site affected by any site specific local policies / planning constraints?.</p> <p>Does the location allow for the potential connection to existing or planned district energy networks (for facilities generating renewable energy)?.</p> <p>Does the siting allow for the utilisation of passive solar design?.</p> <p>Does the location maximise the use of renewable</p>	<p>SPD to consider other factors related to location and design.</p> <p>It is agreed that the checklist need to be more prominent, it would also be appropriate to expand the existing Checklist sections on sustainable construction & energy efficiency.</p> <p>The other points such as consideration of other sites, compliance with local policies / constraints will be picked up through the planning statement which is a requirement of the Council under its local validation list for waste management planning applications.</p>	<p>Include a new subsection in the Introduction of the SPD highlighting the Design Checklist and its purpose.</p> <p>Move the sub section on Other Relevant Documents to the end of the Introduction, and bring forward the subsections on Climate Change and Habitats Regulations to give them more prominence.</p> <p>Revise the Design Checklist to expand the subsections on sustainable construction and Energy Efficiency. Include questions such as: Does the location allow for the potential connection to existing or planned district energy networks (for facilities generating renewable energy)?. Does the siting allow for the utilisation of passive</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representee No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			energy?		<p>solar design?.</p> <p>Does the location maximise the use of renewable energy?</p> <p>Do the proposals maximise the use of sustainable construction materials and techniques?.</p> <p>Do the construction methods proposed maximise the energy efficiency of the facility as a whole?</p>
MWWMFSPD99	Table 1	012	<p>The following specific changes should be made to this section of the checklist:</p> <p>BUILT FORM</p> <p>Add the following to the section of built form:.</p> <p>Do the proposals maximise the use of sustainable construction materials and techniques?.</p> <p>Do the construction methods proposed maximise the energy efficiency of the facility as a whole?</p>	See Councils response to representation MWWMFSPD98	No additional amendments required
MWWMFSPD100	Table 1	012	<p>The following specific changes should be made to this section of the Design Checklist:</p> <p>TRANSPORT</p> <p>Add the following to the section on transport:.</p> <p>Do the proposals maximise the use and accessibility of sustainable modes of transport?</p>	<p>The Checklist already asks if the site is accessible to sustainable modes of transport. This could be revised to question whether this is maximised.</p>	Amend checklist Transport, Access, Parking and Circulation Section, question 1 to reads: Do the proposals maximise the use and accessibility of sustainable modes of transport?
MWWMFSPD101	Table 1	012	<p>The following specific changes should be made to the sections on Lighting and Landscape in the Design Checklist:</p>	<p>Agreed regarding Lighting.</p> <p>In respect of the suggested</p>	<p>Expand the Lighting Section of the design Checklist to include the</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			<p>LIGHTING</p> <p>Add the following to the section on lighting:- Do the proposals maximise the use of energy efficient lighting and maximise the use of natural lighting?· Would the proposed lighting impact on sensitive uses in the surrounding area?· Would the proposed lighting impact on biodiversity, particularly protected species? LANDSCAPE OR BOUNDARY TREATMENTS</p> <p>Add the following to the section on landscape/boundary treatments:- Do the proposals relate to the local landscape character?</p>	<p>inclusion regarding local landscape character there is already a Section on Local distinctiveness which asks this question.</p>	<p>following questions: Do the proposals maximise the use of energy efficient lighting and maximise the use of natural lighting? Would the proposed lighting impact on sensitive uses in the surrounding area? Would the proposed lighting impact on biodiversity, particularly protected species?</p>
MWWWFSPD102	Table 1	012	<p>The following specific changes should be made to the section of air quality: AIR QUALITY</p> <p>Add the following to the section on air quality:- Have suitable abatement technologies been utilised to minimise air quality impacts?</p>	Agreed.	<p>Add an additional question regarding Air Quality, reading: Have suitable abatement technologies been utilised to minimise air quality impacts?</p>
MWWWFSPD103	Table 1	012	<p>WATER</p> <p>Add the following to the section on water:- Do the proposals maximise grey water recycling and rainwater harvesting?</p>	Agreed	<p>Add additional question to the Water Section of the Checklist: Do the proposals maximise grey water recycling Do the proposals include rainwater harvesting?</p>
MWWWFSPD105	Table 1	014	<p>We support the general principles of this document and the criteria used to determine the location of waste management facilities. However, we believe the wording could be strengthened in relation to the</p>	<p>Wording to this effect is contained within the policies of the parent Minerals and Waste Core</p>	<p>No amendments required</p>

Representation No	SPD Ref	Representative No	Response received	Councils Proposed Response	Proposed Amendment to SPD
			<p>protection of designated sites to state that development likely to have an adverse effect on nationally and internationally designated sites will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that appropriate mitigation can be implemented which will prevent any negative effects.</p> <p>This section should also include a reference to the requirements of PPS9, including statutory requirements applying to designated sites and protected species and wider biodiversity in the planning system. This should include reference to the need for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Environmental Impact Assessments, where required under the relevant regulations.</p> <p>Particular impacts on biodiversity likely to be associated with waste facilities could include noise, emissions to air, drainage/pollution and consideration of these is likely to be required as part of any environmental assessment.</p>	<p>Strategy, which must be read in conjunction with this Guide. There is no need to repeat planning policy in the SPD.</p> <p>In addition, the Checklist already asks if any sites designated for their wildlife interest affected? And are important habitats or protected species affected?)</p>	
MWWWMFSPD112	Table 1	014	<p>There needs to be reference to the historic environment within the siting checklist; i.e. "are important historic features and/or their setting affected?"</p>	Agreed	<p>Add additional question under Siting: Are important historic features and/or their setting affected?"</p>

Representee No.	Representee
001	Anglian Water
002	Mrs. Lesley Dickinson
003	South Cambridgeshire District Council
004	Helpston Parish Council
005	Somersham Parish Council
006	Fenland District Council
007	EERA
008	Hemingford Grey Parish Council
009	East Cambridgeshire District Council
010	Cambridge Past, Present & Future (Formerly Cambridge Preservation Society)
011	Donarbon Ltd
012	Cambridge City Council
013	English Heritage
014	Natural England
015	CCC – Waste Management
016	South Cambs Liberal Democrats Shane Lawrence